

Saint Oscar

SAINT OSCAR

FIELD DAY

by the same author

Criticism and Ideology
Marxism and Literary Criticism
The Rape of Clarissa
The Function of Criticism
Against the Grain
Saints and Scholars

Saint Oscar

TERRY
EAGLETON

FIELD DAY

First published in 1989 by
Field Day
Foyle Arts Centre, Lawrence Hill, Derry BT48 7NJ

Photoset by Parker Typesetting Service Leicester
Printed in England by Clays Ltd, St Ives plc

All rights reserved
© Terry Eagleton 1989
Reprinted 1990

All rights in this play are strictly reserved, and application for permission to perform it should be made in the first instance, before rehearsals begin, to Field Day.

This book is sold subject to the condition that it shall not, by way of trade or otherwise, be lent, resold, hired out or otherwise circulated without the publisher's prior consent in any form of binding or cover other than that in which it is published and without a similar condition including this condition being imposed on the subsequent purchaser.

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data
Eagleton, Terry, 1943–
Saint Oscar.

I. Title
822'.914

ISBN 0-946755-18-3

Cover Design by Designpoint, Derry

CONTENTS

Foreword

page vii

SAINT OSCAR

page i

FOREWORD

I first thought of writing about Oscar Wilde when I discovered that hardly any of the Oxford students who asked to study him with me realized that he was Irish. Since Wilde himself realized this only fitfully, this is hardly a grievous crime, though it might be said to be evidence of one. English students of literature would know of course that Yeats and Joyce were Irish, and probably – thinking of those tasty babies of *A Modest Proposal* – Jonathan Swift; but it is more doubtful that they could name the nationality of Sterne, Sheridan, Goldsmith and Burke, and they might even hesitate over Bernard Shaw. British cultural imperialism has long annexed these gifted offshore islanders to its own literary canon, and of course Wilde himself was in many ways glad enough to be recruited. Yet several of the characteristics that make him appear most typically upper-class English – the scorn for bourgeois normality, the flamboyant self-display, the verbal *brio* and iconoclasm – are also, interestingly enough, where one might claim he is most distinctively Irish; and pondering this odd paradox was one point of origin of this play.

Another such point was my sense of how astonishingly Wilde's work prefigures the insights of contemporary cultural theory. Or perhaps it would be more accurate to say that such theory, for all its excited air of novelty, represents in some ways little advance on the *fin de siècle*. Language as self-referential, truth as a convenient fiction, the human subject as contradictory and 'deconstructed', criticism as a form of 'creative' writing, the body and its pleasures pitted against a pharisaical ideology: in these and several other ways, Oscar Wilde looms up for us more and more as the Irish Roland Barthes. The parallel is not fortuitous: somewhere behind Wilde, as somewhere behind modern literary theory, lurks the gigantic shadow of Friedrich Nietzsche. But for me personally this was more than just an intriguing intellectual conjuncture. I have been professionally engaged with radical cultural theory for some years; but during part of that time I have also been struggling to make sense of my own ambiguous,

contradictory identity, as one of Irish working-class provenance now teaching in the very belly of the beast at Oxford. In the end, this combination of factors proved irresistible. Writing about the Irish Oxfordian socialist proto-deconstructionist Oscar Wilde came after a time to feel more like a necessity than a possibility; and the only problem then was to find an appropriate form. I flirted briefly with the idea of a long critical essay, then decided that it would have to be a play. As Wilde had hijacked the artistic forms of the English for his own devious ends, so I would try to turn his own dramatic parodies back on himself, finding some way of reinventing him without, as far as possible, actually quoting him.

As I moved more deeply into this work, I began to discover that the two factors that had triggered my fascination with Wilde – his Irishness, and his remarkable anticipation of some present-day theory – were in fact closely interrelated. I had argued in some previous work that the ideas of several of the leading avant-garde theorists of our own time had to be seen in the context of their socially marginal status, whether as ex-colonials (Jacques Derrida), women (Julia Kristeva) or homosexuals (Barthes, Foucault). It wasn't difficult to see just how this might illuminate Wilde, and to begin to fumble for some of the connections between modernism and colonialism. If, like Wilde, your history has been one of colonial oppression, you are less likely to be enamoured of stable representational forms, which are usually, so to speak, on the side of Caesar. You will find yourself a parodist and parasite, bereft of any imposingly continuous cultural tradition, cobbling one together as you go along. Your writing will tend to set up home with anti-realist fantasy and imaginative extravagance, forced often enough into these modes as poor compensation for a harsh social reality. If the language in which you write is, like Wilde's, the tongue of the colonial oppressor, then it is unlikely that you will avoid an intense verbal self-consciousness; and language will seem to you the one surviving space where you might momentarily be free, wresting a pyrrhic victory over an inexorably determining history. The colonial subject, pitched into a permanent crisis of identity, will not be overimpressed by the solid, well-rounded characters of classical

literary realism, but will feel itself fluid, diffuse, provisional; and the same sense of provisionality will apply to social forms and conventions, breeding an ironic awareness of their fictive, ungrounded nature. In these as in other ways, there is a secret compact between artistic or theoretical experiment and the experience of colonialism, one still much in evidence today; and Wilde was for me one vital place where this could be explored, more for the sake of my own identity and allegiances than as a purely intellectual problem. He inherits a form of Anglo-Irish writing which is ironic about realism, sportive, satirical and fantastic, ecstatically comic with a dark, sobering subtext, and, in its contradictions and subversive wit, deeply perverse. It is a style of writing to which I find myself spontaneously attracted, whatever inferior version of it I may turn out; and it runs completely against the grain of my intellectual formation as an English academic. I think that my theoretical work over recent years has represented a long, painful effort to rediscover something of my own voice in this respect, to turn back to forms of writing bred, so to speak, in the bone; and it is one measure of the awesome power of conventional academic *genres* that in order to be faithful to this impulse I have had to make a break from theoretical to so-called 'creative' writing. What is at one level a question of style is at another level a matter of commitment and identity, a question of slowly discovering that which was 'Irish' in myself but had been suppressed by my formal English education. Examining the doubleness of Oscar Wilde, Oxford dandy and son of the dirtiest man in Dublin, then felt like an unavoidable stage in this self-exploration.

If Wilde is not usually thought of in England as Irish, neither is he seen as a particularly political figure. But Wilde is political in all the most fundamental senses of the term, political in ways that far outstrip the impoverished categories of parliamentary democracy. He was actually politically minded in some rather sharper, more specific meanings of the word too: he wrote finely about socialism, spoke up for Irish republicanism when the British sneered at it, and despite his carefully nurtured flippancy displayed throughout his life a tenderness and compassion towards the dispossessed. But he is also political in some more elusive

senses of the term – political, for example, because he is very funny, a remorseless debunker of the high-toned *gravitas* of bourgeois Victorian England. He is a radical because he takes nothing seriously, cares only for form, appearance and pleasure, and is religiously devoted to his own self-gratification. In Victorian society, such a man did not need to bed the son of the Marquess of Queensberry to become an enemy of the state. I have tried to look in some of my own previous work* at the complex relations between comedy and radical politics, in the context of a political left not exactly celebrated for its uproarious good humour. The names of Mikhail Bakhtin and Bertolt Brecht signify something of this conjuncture in our time, but so also does that of Oscar Wilde. One of the many paradoxes of a transformative politics is that it is in the end all about pleasure, fulfilment, ease and serenity of being, but is forced, sometimes tragically, to forgo some of these precious qualities in the essential rigour and seriousness of its practice. This contradiction in turn conceals another: that values such as pleasure, style and serenity are always politically double-edged, always weapons in the armoury of the rulers as well as potential instruments of their subversion. Wilde lived these contradictions to the full, and was conscious enough of them in his own way. If he sometimes has the offensive irresponsibility of the aesthete, he also restores to us something of the full political force of that term, as a radical rejection of mean-spirited utility and a devotion to human self-realization as an end in itself which is very close to the writings of Karl Marx. If his concern with rhetoric, humour, self-irony, the mask, theatrical self-display are at one level the fruits of an Irish lineage at odds with middle-class English moralism, they are also preoccupations that can play straight into the hands of the English aristocracy. The line between a politically scandalous obsession with surfaces and a callow aestheticism the upper class could recognize as its own is always with him fascinatingly difficult to draw.

Wilde hailed from the city Joyce spelt as 'Doublin', and everything about him – his nationality, sexual identity, social status, politics – is precarious, unstable, double-edged. Much previous

*See in particular my *Walter Benjamin, or Towards a Revolutionary Criticism* (London, 1981), and my novel *Saints and Scholars* (London, 1987).

work on Wilde has centred on his homosexuality, and this is on any account at the heart of what he was; but if I have tried to avoid writing a 'gay' play about him, this is not only because as a heterosexual I am inevitably something of an outsider in such matters, but because it seems to me vital to put that particular ambiguity or doubleness back in the context of a much wider span of ambivalences. Wilde was perverse in much more than a sexual sense, and his sexual, social and artistic perversities are deeply interrelated. His fetish, from beginning to end, was language; and *Saint Oscar* joins a long line of Anglo-Irish plays that are, for many an English ear, a good deal too verbal. Unlike the life of its subject, it could hardly be said to be crammed with exhilarating dramatic action. This may well be to do with my own limitations as a dramatist; but it is also part of a deliberate attempt to reintroduce that artistic form which has always made the genetically empiricist English most deeply uneasy, the 'theatre of ideas'. If it manages to be entertaining at the same time, as I hope it is, then this may help to dissuade the English of their complacent dogma that the intellect is one thing and a sense of humour another, a division disabling to both faculties. The Irish have on the whole found it less trouble to be funny and tragic at one and the same time; and nowhere could this duality be more graphically figured than in the life of Oscar Wilde, clown and victim, scapegoat and entertainer.

Nobody can write now of Britain and Ireland in Wilde's day without bringing to mind the tragic events that have afflicted Ireland in the past two decades. Reflections on the past are always at some level meditations on the present; and in this play I seize on the fact that one of Wilde's prosecutors was Edward Carson, later to spearhead the Unionist opposition to Home Rule, to bring the trial of Wilde to bear on the politics of the present. The Irish, so they say, have to keep remembering their own history because the English keep forgetting it; and it was Sigmund Freud who reminded us that what we do not truly remember we are doomed to repeat. Oscar Wilde's treatment at the hands of a brutal, arrogant British Establishment is being acted out once more in Ireland today, with brutality of a different kind. The significant past, Walter Benjamin remarked, is that frail image which flashes

up to us at a moment of extreme danger; and Benjamin's practice of revolutionary nostalgia was to summon into the present the shades of the unjustly quelled of history, so that they might lend us something of their power. I try in this play, then, to summon the shade of Oscar Wilde back to our side when we are in urgent need of him, confident in the knowledge that whatever indignities a dispossessed people may have to endure, small nations will not rest until they are free.

T.E.

SAINT OSCAR

There is one quotation from the works of Oscar Wilde in this play: 'All women become like their mothers. That is their tragedy. No man does. That's his.' (*The Importance of Being Earnest*.)

CHARACTERS

OSCAR WILDE

LADY WILDE

RICHARD WALLACE

EDWARD CARSON

LORD ALFRED DOUGLAS (BOSIE)

JUDGE

JAMIE

CHORUS

Saint Oscar was first performed by Field Day Theatre Company in the Guildhall, Derry, on 25 September 1989. The cast was as follows:

OSCAR WILDE	Stephen Rea
LADY WILDE	Eileen Pollock
RICHARD WALLACE	Seamus Moran
EDWARD CARSON	Stanley Townsend
LORD ALFRED DOUGLAS	Peter Hanly
JUDGE	Jim Queally
JAMIE	Aidan McCann

<i>Director</i>	Trevor Griffiths
<i>Set & costume designer</i>	Bob Crowley
<i>Lighting designer</i>	Christopher Toulmin

ACT ONE

The Chorus gathers on stage to sing 'The Ballad of Oscar Wilde' (to the tune of 'The Old Orange Flute'):

CHORUS

Well I'll tell you the tale of a quare Irish bard
Who feared that old Ireland was Europe's backyard
So he donned a cravat, wrote a lyric or two,
Like a cross between Byron and Brian Boru.

*Too-ree-oo, too-ree-ay,
From Portora to prison is quite a long way.*

His da was a doctor who poked in the ear
While Oscar poked anything pleasantly queer.
His ma was a Fenian, ferociously brave
She was one part O'Connell and one part Queen Maeve.

*Too-ree-oo, too-ree-ay,
From Portora to prison is quite a long way.*

But the Irish were deaf to this masterful wit
Half man and half woman, part Paddy part Brit –
'If you can't see I'm Shakespeare arisen to power
Then I'm off on the boat to the ivory tower.'

*Too-ree-oo, too-ree-ay,
From Portora to prison is quite a long way.*

So he hopped off to Oxford, his mammy in tears
To learn how to mimic patricians and peers.
He pranced down the High in magenta and blue
Crying 'I'm Oscar Wilde, don't you wish you were too?'

*Too-ree-oo, too-ree-ay,
From Portora to prison is quite a long way.*

'Sweet Jasus' said Oscar 'now why should I work?
If there's brass in big business there's silver in talk.'
So he wisecracked his way into stealing the spoons
And he kissed the fine arses of titled buffoons.